A METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETECTING AND LOCATING NOISE
SOURCES WHETHER CORRELATED OR NOT

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to detecting and
locating sources of noise in the general sense, using
sensors that are appropriate for the nature of the noise
source.

The invention relates to a method of detecting and
locating noise sources disposed in a space of one, two,
or three dimensions and optionally correlated with one
another, and presenting emission spectra of narrow or
broad band.

The invention finds particularly advantageous
applications in the field of locating sources of noise
optionally accompanied by echo and coming, for example,
from vehicles, ships, aircraft, or firearms.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In numerous applications, a need arises to be able
to locate in relatively accurate manner a source of noise
in order to take measures to neutralize it. Numerous
solutions are known in the prior art for acoustically
locating noise sources. The main known solutions make
use of techniques for correlating signals delivered by
detection sensors.

Those techniques present the drawback of being
particularly sensitive to interfering noise occurring in
the environment of the measurement sensors. Furthermore,
it must be considered that those techniques constitute
specific methods that are adapted to each application
under consideration.

The technique in most widespread use involves
antennas having a large number of sensors (several
hundred) and a large computer system implementing beam
forming so as to aim in a given direction in order to
increase the signal-to-noise ratio. That method does not
make any _a priori_ assumption concerning the number of

sources and any possible correlation between them, which leads to a loss of resolution.

OBJECTS AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

There therefore exists a need to have a general method of detecting and locating noise sources in space, when the number of noise sources is small and is known or overestimated.

The invention seeks to satisfy this need by proposing a method of detecting and locating noise sources by means of sensors adapted to the nature of the noise source, the method presenting low implementation costs.

To achieve this object, the method of the invention consists:

· in taking the time-varying electrical signals delivered by the sensors, each signal $s_i(t)$ delivered by a sensor being the sum of the signals S_j emitted by the noise sources;

· in amplifying and filtering the time-varying electrical signals as taken;

· in digitizing the electrical signals;

· in calculating the functional f, such that:

$$f(n_1, \ldots, n_j, \ldots, n_M) = \frac{\det(<T_k(\omega),T_1^*(\omega)> \quad k, 1 = 0 \text{ to } M)}{\det(<T_k(\omega),T_1^*(\omega)> \quad k, 1 = 1 \text{ to } M)}$$

with

$$(T_k(\omega))_i = e^{J\omega \frac{<n_k, c_i>}{c}}$$

$<.,.>$ being the scalar product;

.. c_i being the vector constructed between the center of gravity of the sensors and the position of sensor i;

.. n_j being the unit vector in the direction defined by the center of gravity of the senors and source j;

.. with $T_0 = s$; and

.. with c = the speed of sound; and

· in minimizing the functional f relative to the vectors n_j for $j = 1$ to M in such a manner as to determine the directions n_j of the noise sources.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5   Various other characteristics appear from the description given below with reference to the accompanying drawing which shows embodiments and implementations of the invention as non-limiting examples.

10   Figure 1 is a diagram showing the principle of the detection method of the invention.

Figure 2 is a diagram showing a detail characteristic to the method of the invention.

MORE DETAILED DESCRIPTION

15   As can be seen in Figure 1, the method of the invention consists in locating noise sources X_1, X_2, ..., X_j, ..., X_M where j varies over the range 1 to M, the sources being distributed in space and each emitting a respective signal S_j with j varying in the range 1 to M.

20   The method of the invention consists in locating the noise sources X_j using sound wave or vibration sensors Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_i, ..., Y_N where i varies over the range 1 to N, each delivering a respective time-varying electrical signal s_1, s_2, ..., s_i, ..., s_N.

25   The method consists in taking the time-varying electrical signals $s_i(t)$ delivered by each of the sensors and representative of the sums of the signals S_j emitted by the noise sources X_j. The signals $s_i(t)$ received on the N senors on the basis of the sum of the contributions

30   of the various sources is written as follows:

$$s_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} A_{ij} S_j \left(t - \frac{r_{ij}}{c} \right)$$

where $i = 1$ to N, r_{ij} is the distance between the noise source X_j and the sensor Y_i, and c is the speed of sound in the ambient medium.

The term A_{ij} represents the attenuation due to propagation together with the sensitivity factor of the sensors and is expressed as follows:

$$A_{ij} = B_i C(r_{ij})$$

5   where $i = 1$ to N and $j = 1$ to M, where B_i is the sensitivity coefficient of sensor Y_i and where $C(r_{ij})$ is the attenuation coefficient due to propagation over a distance r_{ij}.

The sensors Y_i are associated with respective
10   electronic units (not shown) for amplifying and lowpass filtering the signals they pick up. The sensors are preferably matched in modulus and phase so that their sensitivities are identical. Thus, $B_i = G$ for $i = 1$ to N.

Advantageously, in order to facilitate implementing
15   the antenna of sensors as defined above, the sensors Y_i are placed relatively close to one another. Consequently, for remote sources, the distance r_{ij} is of the order of the distance r_j, i.e. the distance between the center of gravity of the sensors and the source X_j.
20   Thus, attenuation becomes a function of the distance r_j only with $C(r_{ij}) = C(r_j)$, with $i = 1$ to N and $j = 1$ to M.

It can be deduced therefrom that:

$$A_{ij} = G.C(r_j) = a(r_j)$$

where $i = 1$ to N and $j = 1$ to M and:

25
$$s_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} a(r_j) S_j\left(t - \frac{r_{ij}}{c}\right)$$

where $i = 1$ to N.

Since the amplitudes of the sources X_j are unknown, the following equation can be written as follows, integrating the term $a(r_j)$ in S_j:

30
$$s_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} S_j\left(t - \frac{r_{ij}}{c}\right)$$

where $i = 1$ to N.

Using Fourier transforms, the expression for the signals $s_i(t)$ becomes:

$$(1) \quad \hat{s}_i(\omega) \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{M} \hat{S}_j(\omega) \cdot e^{-J\omega\frac{r_{ij}}{c}}$$

where i = 1 to N

where $\hat{s}$ and $\hat{S}$ are the Fourier transforms of s and S respectively and where ω is angular frequency.

5   This first equation (1) relates the received signals to the distance r_{ij}, i.e. to the positions of the sources X_j.

As can be seen in Figure 2, other relationships can be expressed, associated with geometrical considerations

10 enabling the distances r_{ij} to be related to the unit vector n_j, which determines the direction defined by the center of gravity of the sensors and the source generating the signal S_j. The position of the senors is defined by the vector C_i constructed from the positions of

15 the sensors Y_i and the position of their center of gravity. A development restricted to the first order of r_{ij} then provides:

$$(2) \quad r_{ij} \approx r_j - \; <n_j,\; c_i>$$

where i = 1 to N and j = 1 to M, and where $<.,\;.>$ is the

20 scalar product.

Thus, by replacing r_{ij} by the approximate expression given in (2) and integrating the phase term:

$$e^{-J\omega\frac{r_j}{c}}$$

which depends only on the source X_j in the magnitude

25 $\hat{S}_j(\omega)$, equation (1) can be written:

$$(3) \quad \hat{s}_i(\omega) \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{M} \hat{S}_j(\omega) \cdot e^{-J\omega\frac{<n_j,c_i>}{c}}$$

where i = 1 to N.

This relationship can also be expressed in matrix and vector form:

30

$$(4) \quad \hat{s}_i(\omega) \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{M} \hat{S}_j(\omega) \cdot T_j(\omega)$$

with, for ith coordinate of the vector T_j:

$$(T_j)_i = e^{-J\omega\frac{<n_j,c_i>}{c}}$$

where $i = 1$ to N.

Or indeed:

$$(5) \quad s(\omega) = T.S(\omega)$$

where T = matrix having the general term:

$$T_{ij} = e^{-J\omega\frac{<n_j,c_i>}{c}}$$

In the presence of additive noise, equation (4) becomes:

$$(6) \quad s_i(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{M}\hat{S}_j(\omega).T_j(\omega) + B(\omega)$$

where B is the noise vector which depends on ω.

The method of the invention consists in determining the directions of the sources X_j defined by the vectors n_j for $j = 1$ to M.

When the sources X_j are arbitrary, i.e. correlated or non-correlated, the probability of the presence of Gaussian noise B at the sensors Y_i is given by:

$$b.e^{-a\int|B|^2.d\omega}$$

where a and b depend on the variance.

Thus, from equation (6), the most probable position for the source is the position which minimizes the following magnitude:

$$\left\| s(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{M}\hat{S}_j(\omega).T_j(\omega) \right\|^2$$

In other words, the projection of s onto the direction orthogonal to the hyperplane generated by the vectors T_j for $j = 1$ to M must be of minimum norm.

That constitutes the square of the height of the parallelepiped constructed on the vectors s and T_j, said height h being calculated as the ratio of the volume V to the base area S, i.e.:

$$h = \frac{V}{S}$$

The magnitudes V and S are expressed as a function of the determinants of the Gramm matrices in which the element (k, l) is constituted by the scalar product:

$$< T_k, T_l^* >$$

5   with T_l^* being the vector that is the conjugate of T_l.

Thus,

$$S^2 = \det(< T_k, T_l^* > \quad k, l = 1 \text{ to } M)$$

$$V^2 = \det(< T_k, T_l^* > \quad k, l = 0 \text{ to } M)$$

10 in which it is assumed $T_0 = s$.

Thus:

$$\|B\|^2 = \frac{V^2}{S^2}$$

or indeed:

$$f(n_1, \ldots, n_j, \ldots, n_M) = \|B\|^2$$

15   This is a function of the vectors n_j, which in three dimensions depends only on two angles θ_j and φ_j, i.e. elevation and azimuth, and on angular frequency ω. Any a priori knowledge about the spectra of the sources $\hat{S}_j$ can also be used. For example:

20   · for narrow band noise sources, provision is made to minimize the following functional f_1:

$$(7) \quad f_1 = \sum_k \|B(\omega_k)\|^2$$

ω_k being the angular frequencies of interest; and

        · for broad band noise sources, provision is made to
25 minimize the following functional f_2 relating to the segments of interest:

$$(8) \quad f_2 = \int \|B(\omega)\|^2 d\omega$$

In practice, instead of calculating

$$\|B\|^2$$

30 it is possible to use a sub-optimal method which consists in replacing the denominator S^2 by 1. It can then be shown, providing a search is being made for solution

directions n_j that are sufficiently distinct, that solutions can be obtained that are close to those given by the exact method. The denominator, which cancels when at least two sources coincide, serves to eliminate
5 interfering solutions where a plurality of directions are identical.

When the sub-optimal method comprises broad band processing, it comprises minimizing the following functional f_3:

10   (9) $f_3 = \int \det(<T_k,T_l^*>\quad k, l = 0 \text{ to } M)d\omega$

This magnitude, which is expressed as a linear combination of the cross-correlation functions γ_{ij} of the signals s_i and s_j taken at points which are themselves a linear combination of the delays:

$$\frac{<n_j,c_i>}{c}$$

15

These cross-correlation functions are calculated only for delays having the same order of magnitude as the dimensions of the antenna divided by the speed of sound. Calculation can then advantageously be performed in the
20 time domain as compared with calculation that is usually performed in the frequency domain on the basis of Fourier transforms.

The above-described method thus consists:

· starting from an antenna comprising a plurality of
25 sensors (two to ten, and preferably two to five) in picking up acoustic or vibratory information;

· in amplifying and in filtering the received signals so as to limit their spectrum and match the sensors in phase and in gain;

30   · in digitizing the signals; and

· in minimizing the projection of the vector s onto the direction orthogonal to the vectors T_j, using the following two calculation techniques a) and b):

     a)   + in obtaining the Fourier transforms of the
35 signals s_i;

+ in calculating one of the functionals f_1, f_2, using the above-defined expressions for the Gramm matrix determinants; and

+ minimizing one of the functionals f_1, f_2 depending on the number of sources to be located;

b)   + in using a simplified algorithm which consists in minimizing the functional f_3;

+ in calculating the cross-correlation functions γ_{ij}; and

+ in minimizing a linear combination of the cross-correlation functions, depending on the number of sources.

Once the minimization operation has been performed, the directions n_j of the noise sources are determined. Advantageously, it is also possible to recover the characteristics of the noise sources X_j.

If $N = M$, i.e. if there are as many sensors as sources, then the system (5) can in general be inverted.

If $N \geq M$, the problem can be reduced to a square system by premultiplying by:

$$^{t}T*$$

i.e. by the conjugate transposed matrix of T. System (5) then becomes:

$$^{t}T* \cdot s(\omega) = {}^{t}T* \cdot T \cdot S(\omega)$$

I.e.

(10) $S(\omega) = (^{t}T* \cdot T)^{-1} \cdot {}^{t}T* \cdot s(\omega)$

From equation (10), the signals S_j can be calculated so as to discover the characteristics of the sources X_j.

The description below gives an implementation for detecting one noise source ($M = 1$) using N sensors.

This provides:

$$\|B(\omega)\|^2 \;=\; \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \|s(\omega)\|^2 & \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{s}_i *(\omega)\, e^{+J\omega\frac{<n,c_i>}{c}} \\[2ex] \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{s}_i *(\omega)\, e^{-J\omega\frac{<n,c_i>}{c}} & N \end{vmatrix}}{N}$$

i.e.:

$$\|B(\omega)\|^2 \;=\; \frac{1}{N}\left[(N-1)\cdot\|s(\omega)\|^2 \;-\; \sum_{\substack{k,l \\ k\neq l}} \hat{s}_k(\omega)\,\hat{s}_l(\omega)\, e^{j\omega\frac{<n,c_l-c_k>}{c}} \right]$$

For broad band sources, it is thus a question of
minimizing:

$$\int\|B(\omega)\|^2\,d\omega \;=\; \frac{1}{N}\left[(N-1)\int\|s(\omega)\|^2\,d\omega \;-\; \sum_{\substack{k,l \\ k\neq l}}\mathrm{Re}\left\{\int \hat{s}_k(\omega)\,\hat{s}_l(\omega)\, e^{j\omega\frac{<n,c_l-c_k>}{c}}\,d\omega\right\} \right]$$

I.e. writing the cross-correlations between the
measured signals as γ_{kl}:

$$\int\|B(\omega)\|^2\,d\omega \;=\; \frac{1}{N}\left[(N-1)\int\|s(\omega)\|^2\,dt \;-\; \sum_{\substack{k,l \\ k\neq l}}\gamma_{kl}\left(\frac{<n,c_l-c_k>}{c}\right) \right]$$

The problem thus reduces to maximizing:

$$\sum_{\substack{k,l \\ k\neq l}}\gamma_{kl}\left(\frac{<n,\; c_l \;-\; c_k>}{c}\right)$$

by varying n which depends on only Np parameters, where
Np is equal to the dimension of the space minus 1 unit.

Without further elaboration, it is believed that one skilled in the art can, using the preceding description, utilize the present invention to its fullest extent. The preceding preferred specific embodiments are, therefore, to be construed as merely illustrative, and not limitative of the remainder of the disclosure in any way whatsoever. Also, any preceding examples can be repeated with similar success by substituting the generically or specifically described reactants and/or operating conditions of this invention for those used in such examples.

Throughout the specification and claims, all temperatures are set forth uncorrected in degrees Celsius and, all parts and percentages are by weight, unless otherwise indicated.

The entire disclosures of all applications, patents and publications, cited herein are incorporated by reference herein.

From the foregoing description, one skilled in the art can easily ascertain the essential characteristics of this invention and, without departing from the spirit and scope thereof, can make various changes and modifications of the invention to adapt it to various usages and conditions.